	Case 2:22-cv-01558-TLN-CKD Docume	nt 19 Filed 02/26/24	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVID ABRAHAM JOSEPH,	No. 2:22-cv-1558-7	ΓLN-CKD
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER	
13	v.		
14	MONIVIRIN SON, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On September 29, 2023, the Court dismissed this action because Plaintiff failed to keep		
18	the Court apprised of his address. Plaintiff filed a Motion to Reopen his case. (ECF No. 17.)		
19	The record shows that on May 15, 2023, Plaintiff informed that Court that he was being		
20	housed at the Shasta County Jail. The Court attempted to serve four different documents,		
21	including the order dismissing this case on Plaintiff at the Shasta County Jail, but all four		
22	documents were returned.		
23	Under Rule 60(b), a court may vacate judgment for, among other things, mistake,		
24	inadvertence, surprise, excusable neglect, or "any other reason that justifies relief." In the instant		
25	motion, Plaintiff admits he was released from the Shasta County Jail on June 8, 2023, and fails to		

Under Rule 60(b), a court may vacate judgment for, among other things, mistake, inadvertence, surprise, excusable neglect, or "any other reason that justifies relief." In the instantation, Plaintiff admits he was released from the Shasta County Jail on June 8, 2023, and fails to adequately explain why he did not provide the Court with a new address where he could be reached upon his release. Thus, the Court finds Plaintiff has not provided the Court with any valid basis, as identified in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) for reopening the case.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to reopen this case (ECF No. 17) is DENIED. Date: February 26, 2024 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge

Case 2:22-cv-01558-TLN-CKD Document 19 Filed 02/26/24 Page 2 of 2